



United States District Court, California Northern US

Overview Analytics Documents

View a snapshot of a court's active judges and activity over time.

Create Report


Court Information

Jurisdiction	General Jurisdiction	
Type	Federal	
Website	http://www.cand.uscourts.gov	
Locations	Oakland Division, Ronald V. Dellums Federal Building 1301 Clay Street Suite 400 S Oakland CA US 94612	San Jose Division, Robert F. Peckham Federal Building 280 South 1st Street San Jose CA US 95113

[Browse All Locations](#)

Opinions by Areas of Law

(based on cases)



[View 5 More](#)


Active Judges

Name	Year Appointed
Kandis A. Westmore Oakland Division, Ronald V. Dellums Federal Building	
Charles R. Breyer San Francisco Division, Phillip Burton Federal Building & U.S. Courthouse	2011
Jacqueline Scott Corley San Francisco Division, Phillip Burton Federal Building & U.S. Courthouse	2011
Edward J. Davila San Jose Division, Robert F. Peckham Federal Building	2011
Claudia Wilken Oakland Division, Ronald V. Dellums Federal Building	2014

[View 28 More](#)

Opinions Per Year

(based on cases)





United States District Court, California Northern US

Overview **Analytics** Documents

Easily navigate to the court's decisions in one of up to 100 motion types.

[Create Report](#)

Motion Language Citation Patterns

FILTERS

Motion Decisions from United States District Court, California Northern's cases

Granted Partial Denied



16823 cases where United States District Court, California Northern ruled on a motion to dismiss

1. [N.A. Sales Co. v. Seo](#)
[Hon. Jacqueline Scott Corley](#) | Sep 18, 2019 | 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 160064

Motions
 motion for reconsideration ✗ denied
 motion to dismiss ● partial

Decision Language
 Defendants JHK Pacific, Clayton Swartz, Haeng Cha Swartz's motion to dismiss the fraudulent transfer and fraudulent transfer conspiracy claim is denied as the state court already denied their demurrer to those claims and they have not properly moved for reconsideration, let alone met the standard for reconsideration. ... Defendant JYK Investment's motion to dismiss the fraudulent transfer and fraudulent transfer conspiracy claims is granted for failure to state a claim.

2. [Wilson v. Bank of Am. Pension Plan for Legacy Cos.](#)
[Hon. Thomas S. Hixson](#) | Sep 18, 2019 | 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 160060

Motions
 motion to dismiss ✔ granted
 motion for reconsideration ✔ granted
 motion for judicial notice ✔ granted

Decision Language
 (1) Fidelity's Motion for Reconsideration, ECF No. 50, is GRANTED. ... (2) Defendants' Partial Motion to Dismiss Second Amended Complaint, ECF No. 51, is GRANTED. ... (3) Defendants' request for judicial notice, ECF No. 55, is GRANTED.

3. [Sgarlata v. PayPal Holdings, Inc.](#)
[Hon. Edward M. Chen](#) | Sep 18, 2019 | 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 160126

Motions
 motion to dismiss ✔ granted

Decision Language
 As such, Defendants' motion to dismiss Plaintiffs' Section 20(a) claim is GRANTED with prejudice.

4. [TSI USA LLC v. Uber Techs., Inc.](#)
[Hon. Haywood S. Gilliam Jr.](#) | Sep 17, 2019 | 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 159282

Motions
 motion to dismiss ✔ granted

Decision Language
 Accordingly, the Court GRANTS the motion to dismiss without leave to amend.

5. [Cal. Spine & Neurosurgery Inst. v. United Healthcare Ins. Co.](#)
[Hon. Lucy H. Koh](#) | Sep 17, 2019 | 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 159286

Motions
 motion to dismiss ● partial

Decision Language
 For the foregoing reasons, the Court GRANTS Defendant's motion to dismiss Plaintiff's quantum meruit claim with leave to amend. ... Defendant's motion to dismiss Plaintiff's breach of implied contract and breach of express contract is DENIED.

6. [Allen v. Barulich](#)



United States District Court, California Northern US

Overview **Analytics** Documents

Craft winning arguments with the exact language the court has used in their opinions.

Create Report


Motion Language Citation Patterns

FILTERS

Frequently Cited

Opinions

Judges



United States District Court, California Northern citing [Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc.](#)

Most common language

Citing Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc. in [Operating Engineers' Health & Welfare Trust Fund for N. Cal. v. Vortex Marine Constr.](#)

United States District Court, California Northern | Sep 4, 2019

In deciding a motion for summary judgment, a court must view the evidence in the light most favorable to the nonmoving party and draw all justifiable inferences in its favor. Anderson, 477 U.S. at 255 ; Hunt v. City of Los Angeles, 638 F.3d 703, 709 (9th Cir. 2011) .

[More Like This](#)

Citing Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc. in [Operating Engineers' Health & Welfare Trust Fund for N. Cal. v. Vortex Marine Constr.](#)

United States District Court, California Northern | Sep 4, 2019

Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(a) . Summary judgment is appropriate when, after adequate discovery, there is no genuine issue as to material facts and the moving party is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. Id. ; see Celotex Corp. v. Catrett, 477 U.S. 317, 322-23, 106 S. Ct. 2548, 91 L. Ed. 2d 265 (1986) . Material facts are those that might affect the outcome of the case. Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc., 477 U.S. 242, 248, 106 S. Ct. 2505, 91 L. Ed. 2d 202 (1986) . A dispute as to a material fact is genuine if there is sufficient evidence for a reasonable jury to return a verdict for the nonmoving party.

[More Like This](#)

Citing Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc. in [Barrow v. San Francisco Sheriff's Dep't](#)

United States District Court, California Northern | Aug 5, 2019

Anderson, 477 U.S. at 254 . "Instead, the non-moving party must go beyond the pleadings and by its own evidence set forth specific facts showing that there is a genuine issue for trial."

[More Like This](#)

Citing Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc. in [Operating Engineers' Health & Welfare Trust Fund for N. Cal. v. Vortex Marine Constr.](#)

United States District Court, California Northern | Sep 4, 2019

Once the moving party meets its initial burden, the opposing party must then set forth specific facts showing that there is some genuine issue for trial in order to defeat the motion. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(e) ; Anderson, 477 U.S. at 250 .

[More Like This](#)

Citing Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc. in [Hollis v. Risenhoover](#)

United States District Court, California Northern | Jul 22, 2019

Id. at 325 . If the evidence in opposition to the motion is merely colorable, or is not significantly probative, summary judgment may be granted. See Liberty Lobby, 477 U.S. at 249-50 .

[More Like This](#)

Citing Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc. in [t'Bear v. Forman](#)

United States District Court, California Northern | Feb 6, 2019

The December 2011 MOU from Plaintiff to Defendant detailing a restructured "payout plan to [Defendant] that would retire the series of Promissory Notes dated from 2006-2011" likewise does not contain any reference to the purported partnership. (See Dkt. No. 99-4, Ex. 55 at 165.) Further, to the extent that the Court recognizes a genuine dispute of fact as to the existence of a partnership, that dispute is not material to the breach of contract counterclaim based on the record before the Court. See Liberty Lobby, Inc., 477 U.S. at 248 ("Only disputes over facts that might affect the outcome of the suit under the governing law will properly preclude the



United States District Court, California Northern US

Overview

Analytics

Documents

Uncover all there is to know about your court
using information from 10 key content types.

Create Report

FILTERS

Cases

Content Type

- Cases
- Dockets
- Jury Verdicts & Settlements
- Administrative Materials
- Briefs, Pleadings, and Motions
- Jury Instructions
- Expert Witness Materials
- Secondary Materials
- Directories
- Legal News

Search Within Results

Timeline

Subscription

Published Status

Source

Practice Areas & Topics

Attorney

Law Firm

Citations

Keyword

Judge

Publisher

Expert

84597 Results

Sort By: Date (Newest - Oldest)

1. [United States v. Durant, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 171387](#)

United States District Court for the Northern District of California | October 2, 2019 | 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 171387

2. [Seb Inv. Mgmt. Ab v. Symantec Corp., 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 171386](#)

United States District Court for the Northern District of California | October 2, 2019 | 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 171386

3. [In re Rogers, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 171438](#)

United States District Court for the Northern District of California | October 2, 2019 | 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 171438

4. [Gustafson v. City of San Jose, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 171429](#)

United States District Court for the Northern District of California, San Jose Division | October 2, 2019 | 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 171429

5. [Chu v. San Francisco County Superior Court, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 171424](#)

United States District Court for the Northern District of California | October 2, 2019 | 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 171424

6. [Ballonoff v. Callejo, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 171485](#)

United States District Court for the Northern District of California | October 2, 2019 | 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 171485

7. [Williams v. Amtrak, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 170555](#)

United States District Court for the Northern District of California | October 1, 2019 | 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 170555

8. [Synopsis, Inc. v. Innogrit, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 171487](#)

United States District Court for the Northern District of California | October 1, 2019 | 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 171487

9. [Sweiha v. Cty. of Alameda, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 170552](#)

United States District Court for the Northern District of California, San Francisco Division | October 1, 2019 | 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 170552

10. [Rumley v. Regents of the Univ. of Cal., 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 170599](#)

United States District Court for the Northern District of California | October 1, 2019 | 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 170599

11. [Nilsen v. Lake Cty. Superior Court, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 170596](#)

United States District Court for the Northern District of California | October 1, 2019 | 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 170596

12. [Martin v. Unknown, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 171423](#)

United States District Court for the Northern District of California | October 1, 2019 | 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 171423

13. [Lacy v. Saul, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 170621](#)

United States District Court for the Northern District of California | October 1, 2019 | 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 170621

14. [Kastler v. Oh My Green, Inc., 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 170610](#)