


Lexis Advance Integrated Features

Get access to advanced data visualization, analytics and insights, right within Lexis Advance.


Lex Machina[®] Legal Analytics[®] summaries for attorneys, judges and law firms

Lexis Advance includes links to Lex Machina[®] Legal Analytics[®] summary charts. Get a snapshot view of a law firm's litigation trends, a judge's case load, plus timeline and ruling history; or uncover an attorney's level of experience by viewing case filings by year and practice area. Find these valuable links to the right of a full-text case on Lexis Advance. Directly link to Lex Machina for seamless access to more robust and revealing analytics (Lex Machina subscription required).



Kavel™ View case law visualization surfaces the most influential cases

Use Ravel[™] View to quickly identify the most influential cases from your search results by court level, citation frequency and Shepard's[®] treatment—helping you extract the case law you need to support your argument. Select the citation map icon in the upper right corner of your case search results screen. Ravel View graphically presents the top 75 results from your search. Each circle represents one case. The lines connecting cases indicate a citing relationship between the cases in your results list.



📃 Lexis Answers™ cards

Quickly find specific answers to your research questions with Lexis Answers[™] cards. They provide a concise, authoritative answer right at the top of your search results, currently covering definitions, elements, standards of review, burdens of proof, legal doctrines and statutes of limitation at no additional charge. Using powerful machine-learning technology, Lexis Answers cards link to the exact location in the full-text source document to provide the information you need.

Cases	10,000+ ~	Results for: What is the definition of joint tortfeasors 🚺 Actions
tit Filters	~	
✓ Search Within Results		
Enter search terms	Q	Joint tortfeasors
 Court Select courts to display at the to Edit Settings 	p of this list. $ imes$	 Two or more persons who unite in committing a tort, or whose acts concur in contributing to and §§ 110 et seq. Two or more persons jointly or severally liable in tort for the same injury to person some of them. Anno: 34 ALR28 108 (referring to solin Tortfessor Act of the Uniform Laws). 2. T the wrongdoing, and the injury must be in some way due to their joint work, but it is not necessar occasions the injury. 3. All the corteseors are jointly and severally liable for all the damages don the other solutions and the corteseors are jointly and severally liable for all the damages don the other solutions.
Federal	9,173	jointly by force applied directly, or in the pursuit of a common purpose or design, or by concer concurrent negligence, although the wrongful conduct or negligence of some may have contribu
1st Circuit	500	several may be treated as joint or several at the election of the aggrieved party.
2nd Circuit	1,174	,
3rd Circuit	1,317	Read more at: Ballentine - joint tortfeasors
	671	
4th Circuit		

Reason for Shepard's Signal[™]

It's never been easier to determine good law with the Reason for *Shepard's* Signal feature. When viewing a case, click on this feature to the right. A new window displays the citing reference that had the strongest influence on the *Shepard's* Signal analysis, at no additional charge. You'll quickly know why the *Shepard's* Signal indicator was assigned and review the most important citing references even faster.



LexisNexis

${f Q}$ Search Term Maps

Experience how data visualization can get you to the most relevant cases faster. You'll see color-coded search terms in your case law search results and a map of their location in the opinion via a navigation bar. Assess the number, distribution and clustering of colorized search terms at a glance. Also, jump directly to a case or passage by clicking a color on the navigation bar.

	harge! chapter 13 undue h	nardship Show/hide term highlights ∽
		→
1. Aln re Jo		
		them District of Ohio, Eastern Division Jul 01, 2016 555 B.R. 636
Officed St	stes Dankiupicy Court for the Sou	men District of Onlo, Eastern Division 3di 01, 2010 555 B.K. 656
preclud	e student loan creditors from charg	ebtors' Chapter 13 plan was not warranted since the plan improperly proposed to ging collection fees, late fees, and interest, and the plan thus effectively sought a eable student loan debts without a showing of undue hardship.
	Summary	Opinions
Torm	s: Summary	
renn	s. Summary	
stude discha Confiri stude discha	It loan creditors from charging coll rge of a portion of the nondischarg nation of bankruptcy debtors' Char ht loan creditors from charging coll	hapter 13 plan was not warranted since the plan improperty proposed to preclude lection fees, late fees, and interest, and the plan time effectively sought a geable student loan detas without a showing of induce hardship HOLDINGS. [1] plan 13 plan was not warranted since the plan improperty proposed to preclude lection fees, late fees, and interest, and the plan time effectively sought a geable student loan debts without a showing of undue hardship. Confirmation <i>rf.</i>
	his passage in full document	

🖄 Legislative Outlook

The Legislative Outlook feature uses predictive analytics and sophisticated algorithms to determine and report the likelihood of a bill's passage through the legislative process. A meter shows a bill's probability for advancing to the next legislative step, based on the politics of the jurisdiction, the sponsor(s)'s influence and past success rate, and other empirical data. Find this complimentary feature when viewing a bill tracking report or full-text bill.



QUESTIONS? CONTACT CUSTOMER SUPPORT 24/7. 800.543.6862

LexisNexis,LexisAdvance,Shepard'sandtheKnowledgeBurstlogoareregisteredtrademarksandShepard'sSignalandLexisAnswersaretrademarks of RELX Inc. Lex Machina and Legal Analytics are registered trademarks of Lexis Machina, Inc. © 2018 LexisNexis, LO20983-0 0218