

Context Quick Reference Guide

The success of litigation rides on the arguments you make in briefs or in court—and words matter. As we all know, judges rely on the same cases and language repeatedly. Knowing that language can be the difference in winning your case. Only **Context on Lexis Advance®** quickly pinpoints and compiles the specific language, cases and judges your judge or court relies on most often.

Access additional resources on Context at [lexisnexis.com/context](https://www.lexisnexis.com/context).

Contents

Getting Started with Context	2
Judge Analytics	
Overview.....	3
Motion Language.....	4
Citation Patterns.....	5
Documents	6
Expert Analytics	
Search by Name or by Area of Expertise	7
Overview.....	8
Federal Challenges	9
Court Analytics	
Overview.....	10
Motion Language.....	11
Citation Patterns.....	12
Documents	13


Build your strongest argument using language proven to persuade your judge.

Hire the best expert to testify on your behalf or build your case against opposing counsel's expert.

Anticipate case outcomes with data-driven analysis of your judge's or court's previous opinions.

GETTING STARTED WITH CONTEXT


Sign in to the Lexis Advance service at [lexisadvance.com](https://www.lexisadvance.com) and select **Context** from the product switcher. Trouble signing in? Try the **Forgot your ID or password?** link or call Customer Support at 800-543-6862.



- A** **Product switcher:** Navigate to other LexisNexis® products through the product switcher.
- B** **Product name:** Identify the current product you are working within.
- C** **Search:** Enter the name of an expert, an area of expertise, judge or court to run a search across millions of case law documents. Apply a pre-search filter for experts, judges or courts if you would like to narrow search results to a given entity.

JUDGE ANALYTICS

Context for Judges helps you craft better briefs by giving you access to the language that is likely to resonate with your judge—language your judge uses regularly in granting or denying motions like the one you’re developing right now. Gain insights into other judges and cases your judge cites most often and finds most persuasive.



A Judicial Experience

- 2010 - Present: Judge United States District Court, California Northern Appointed by President Barack Obama
- 2008 - 2010: Judge California Superior Court, Santa Clara County Appointed by Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger

B Opinions by Areas of Law (based on cases)

Area of Law	Number of Cases
Civil Procedure	2247
Criminal Law & Procedure	903
Civil Rights Law	776
Evidence	616
Constitutional Law	587

Opinions Per Year (based on cases)

Year	Number of Opinions
2010	100
2011	400
2012	450
2013	350
2014	250
2015	250
2016	250
2017	220
2018	200
2019	150

C Link to this page

D Create Report

Overview

An Overview appears by default when a particular judge is selected from the search results and shows a snapshot of the judge’s experience and activity over time.

- A** View high-level information about the judge, including Education, Experience as an Attorney prior to taking the bench and Judicial Experience.
- B** Quickly view the judge’s Opinions by Areas of Law and Opinions Per Year to understand the judge’s background and experience in similar cases.
- C** Link to this page to share this profile or revisit it at a later time.
- D** Create a full or custom report to export and share judge analytics with colleagues.

JUDGE ANALYTICS

Motion Decisions from Lucy H. Koh's cases

Motion Type	Granted	Partial	Denied	Total
motion to dismiss	75	10	10	785
motion for summary judgment	35	10	10	385
motion for leave	15	10	10	166
motion to strike	10	10	10	106
motion to seal	10	10	10	98
motion to exclude	10	10	10	85
motion for default judgment	10	10	10	86
motion for stay	10	10	10	80
motion for reconsideration	10	10	10	77
motion for remand	10	10	10	69
motion for appointment of counsel	10	10	10	66
motion to compel	10	10	10	59
motion to amend	10	10	10	54
motion for intervention	10	10	10	52
motion for injunctive relief	10	10	10	51
motion for certification	10	10	10	50
motion for fees	10	10	10	46
motion for judicial notice	10	10	10	46

713 cases where Lucy H. Koh ruled on a motion to dismiss

- Cal. Spine & Neurosurgery Inst. v. United Healthcare Ins. Co.**
United States District Court, California Northern | Sep 17, 2019 | 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 159286
Motions
motion to dismiss partial
Decision Language
For the foregoing reasons, the Court GRANTS Defendant's motion to dismiss Plaintiff's quantum meruit claim with leave to amend. ... Defendant's motion to dismiss Plaintiff's breach of implied contract and breach of express contract is DENIED.
- Carmona v. County of San Mateo**
United States District Court, California Northern | Sep 12, 2019 | 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 156239
Motions
motion to dismiss partial
Decision Language
See Carvalho, 629 F.3d at 892 (explaining "repeated failure to cure deficiencies by amendments previously allowed" justifies denying leave to amend); see also Allen, 911 F.2d at 373-74. Accordingly, the Court grants Defendants' motion to dismiss Plaintiff's Monell claim against Sheriff Bolanos with prejudice. ... Therefore, the Court DENIES Defendants' motion to dismiss these claims as to Sheriff Bolanos.
- Alexandria Real Estate Equities, Inc. v. Runlabs (UK) Ltd.**
United States District Court, California Northern | Sep 5, 2019 | 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 151592
Motions
motion to dismiss granted

Motion Language

- A** **Interactive Motion Outcomes Chart:** Examine a judge's rulings on a specific motion type and view an associated case list for that motion type. Motion outcomes are based on opinions written by trial and court judges at both the federal and state level. Context does not currently detect appellate reversals of trial court motion decisions.
- B** Analyzing the opinions written by a judge, Context identifies the occurrence of up to 100 motion types and analyzes the outcome of the motion (granted, denied or partial ruling).
- C** View all cases where the judge ruled on a specific motion type and see the exact language used in the judge's decision alongside the motion outcome for that case.

JUDGE ANALYTICS

Frequently Cited

Case Name	Frequency (Relative)
Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly	High
Ashcroft v. Iqbal	Medium-High
Lopez v. Smith	Medium
Manzarek v. St. Paul Fire & Marine Ins...	Medium-Low
Celotex Corp. v. Catrett	Low-Medium
Fayer v. Vaughn	Low
Ballistreri v. Pacifica Police Dep't	Low
Leadsinger, Inc. v. BMG Music Publ'g	Low
Shaw v. Hahn	Low
Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc.	Low
West v. Atkins	Low
Foman v. Davis	Low
Western Mining Council v. Watt	Low
Kamakana v. City & County of Honolulu	Low
Hartman v. Gilead Scis., Inc. (In re Gile...	Low
Weisbuch v. County of Los Angeles	Low
Navarro v. Block	Low
Slack v. McDaniel	Low

Most common language

A Frequently Cited Opinions and Judges: View an interactive graphical display of the opinions and judges your judge cites most often.

B The right-hand display presents the most common language—exact sentences and phrases—from the opinion or judge selected, so you can easily copy and paste text into your motion or brief.

Citation Patterns

Citation Patterns give you the advantage of knowing the language, cases and judges that are most persuasive to your judge.

- A** **Frequently Cited Opinions and Judges:** View an interactive graphical display of the opinions and judges your judge cites most often.
- B** The right-hand display presents the most common language—exact sentences and phrases—from the opinion or judge selected, so you can easily copy and paste text into your motion or brief.

JUDGE ANALYTICS


The screenshot displays the LexisNexis Judge Analytics interface for Judge Lucy H. Koh. At the top, there is a search bar with the text "Judge" and a placeholder "Enter the name of a judge". Below the search bar, the judge's name and court are displayed: "Lucy H. Koh, United States District Court, California Northern". The interface has three main tabs: "Overview", "Analytics", and "Documents", with "Documents" being the active tab. A "FILTERS" sidebar is visible on the left, with a "Cases" filter selected. The main content area shows a list of 2696 results, with the first seven items listed. A "Sort By" dropdown menu is open, showing options: "Date (Newest - Oldest)", "Document Title (A-Z)", "Document Title (Z-A)", "Court (Lowest - Highest)", "Court (Highest - Lowest)", "Date (Oldest - Newest)", and "Date (Newest - Oldest)".

Documents

- A** Without having to run additional searches, all available documents pertaining to your judge from across the Lexis Advance service are compiled automatically for you under the Documents tab. Advanced technology recognizes and tags each judge, yielding more precise results than a name search.
- B** Filters on the left-hand side of the screen work similarly to Lexis Advance and allow you to narrow the results list.
- C** Sort related materials by Document Title, Court or Date.

EXPERT ANALYTICS

Whether you're looking to hire the best expert to testify on your behalf or building your case against opposing counsel, Context for Expert Witnesses is your go-to resource with access to 400,000+ expert witness profiles. Search from 9,000+ areas of expertise to find the expert most relevant to your case.



The screenshot displays the Context Expert Analytics interface. At the top, there is a search bar with the text "Internal Med" and a search icon. Below the search bar, a dropdown menu shows "Internal Med" selected, with a list of suggestions including "Internal Medicine Occupational Medicine", "Internal Medicine Sleep Medicine", "Internal Medicine", "Internal Medicine line", "Internal Medicine Diabetes", "Internal Medicine Metabolism", and "Internal Medicine Osteopathy".

Below the search bar, there is a navigation bar with "Context" and "All" selected, and "Internal Medicine" in the search bar. The main content area shows "Results for: Internal Medicine" with tabs for "Judges", "Experts", and "Courts". The "Experts" tab is selected. Below the tabs, there are filters for "By Name" and "By Area of Expertise". The "By Area of Expertise" filter is expanded, showing a list of areas of expertise with checkboxes. The "Area of Expertise" filter is selected, and a list of results is displayed.


The results list shows 88,012 Results. The first four results are:

- Abad, Alfonso M.D.,M.S.,F.A.C.**
Philadelphia, PA | Cardiovascular Diseases, Internal Medicine, Interventional Cardiology
- Abdel, Nabila M.D.,F.A.C.O.G.**
Nashville, TN | Obstetrics & Gynecology, Family Medicine
- Ackerman, Jeffrey Michael, M.D.**
Ann Arbor, MI | Diabetes, Internal Medicine
- Adams, Leonard Jerome M.D.**
Buffalo, NY | Internal Medicine, Nephrology

Search by Name or by Area of Expertise

- From the Context home page, select Experts from the pull-down menu and begin with a simple search if you already know your expert. If you're looking to identify an expert, input the area of expertise.
- The search word wheel displays matching areas of expertise and suggested areas of expertise to consider from our extensive database.
- Narrow results to match your case jurisdiction using post-search filters for State and City.
- Browse areas of expertise to filter or expand results as you look for the precise expertise needed for your case.

EXPERT ANALYTICS



A Professional Experience

- Bellevue Hospital Center
- CLINICAL ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR
NYC School of Medicine

B CVs (16 Total)

- JONES, 2018 Curr. Vitae LEXIS 003
- JONES, 2018 Curr. Vitae LEXIS 002
- JONES, 2018 Curr. Vitae LEXIS 001

C Hiring Party (1297 Cases)
(based on Jury Verdicts and Settlements)

97% Plaintiff, 2% Defendant, 1% Other

D Link to this page

E Set up your report

Name your report: Dr. Jones MD FACC

Choose an option for your report

- Overview
- Full Report
This includes Overview, Analytics, and Related Materials
- Custom
Select content sections to include

Next

Overview

An overview appears by default when a particular expert is selected from the search results, showing a snapshot of the expert's experience and activity over time.

- A** Quickly view critical information about your expert, including Contact details, Hiring Party, Professional Experience, Licenses and Certifications.
- B** Access all curricula vitae the Lexis Advance service has available for your expert to identify changes over time which may indicate circumstances worth investigating, such as educational degrees or certificates that have been eliminated.
- C** See your expert's litigation experience, including Hiring Party, as well as number of cases per year. Also view practice areas and jurisdictions where your expert has testified.
- D** Link to this page to share this profile or revisit it at a later time.
- E** Create a full or custom report to export and share expert analytics with colleagues.

EXPERT ANALYTICS

Dr. Jones M.D., F.A.C.C.
Cardiovascular Diseases, Internal Medicine

Overview | Analytics | Documents

Federal Challenges

A Overall Challenges Outcome Analysis (4)

Admitted | Admitted in Part | Excluded

75% | 25%

B Challenges by Type

Cases	Methodology	Qualification	Relevance	Procedural	Outcome
Farley v. United States		●			●
Thornton v. Mitchell	●	●			●
Austin v. Deltch	●	●			●
Seery v. Krishnan		●			●

C All Challenge Decisions(4)


- Farley v. United States**
United States District Court, New Hampshire | 2015-04-03
Decision
And, it should be noted that Dr. Jones was one of just two cardiologists who testified as expert witnesses in this case.
Grounds for Challenge: Qualifications
Disposition: Admitted in Part
- Thornton v. Mitchell**
United States District Court, Alabama Middle | 2018-07-09
Decision
Like Dr. Jones, Dr. Gandy similarly opines that a physician should have been informed of Mrs. Riley's medical circumstances, she should have been informed of the risks associated with delaying the procedure, and that Defendants failed to appropriately ensure Mrs. Riley's health issues and problems were addressed, considered, and handled during Dr. Mitchell's period of absence. ... The court finds that Dr. Jones and Gandy are appropriately qualified to offer expert medical testimony on these matters.
Grounds for Challenge: Methodology, Qualifications
Disposition: Admitted in Part
- Austin v. Deltch**
New Jersey Superior Court, Essex County | 2013-06-10
Decision
Having found Dr. Jones unqualified to testify as to the standard of care owed by a general surgeon to a patient in post-operative care, and the departure therefrom, plaintiffs are left without an expert witness and cannot meet their burden of proof in proving malpractice against both Dr. Deltch, and vicariously, against UMDNJ.
Grounds for Challenge: Methodology, Qualifications
Disposition: Excluded
- Seery v. Krishnan**
Florida Circuit Court, Seventh Judicial Circuit, Volusia County | 2004-12-20
Decision
Defendants may move forward in discovery by means of deposition or otherwise as to Plaintiff's expert witnesses, Dr. Bruce D. Charash to address his expertise in this case and more fully address the issue of prior disqualification(s) as a medical expert and/or limitation(s) of his prior testimony in courts of competent

Federal Challenges

- A** Expert witness Overall Challenges Outcome Analysis detects expert challenges in federal district court in which a judge has made a ruling. How often the expert's testimony was Admitted, Admitted in Part or Excluded is presented in a quick reference chart.
- B** View a breakdown of opinions depicting the grounds for each challenge: Methodology, Qualification, Relevance and Procedural. An opinion will have no dots if the language does not indicate the grounds for challenge or is unclear when discussing the grounds.
- C** Explore All Challenge Decisions to see where your expert has testified, grounds for challenge(s) and specific reasons why a judge excluded or admitted the expert's testimony.
- D** Without having to run additional searches, all available documents pertaining to your expert from across the Lexis Advance service are compiled automatically for you under the Documents tab. Advanced technology recognizes and tags each expert, yielding more precise results than a name search.

COURT ANALYTICS

Whether you're a plaintiff determining where to file your case or defense counsel considering a transfer of venue, Context for Courts quickly shows how many cases like yours have been tried in a court and how the court ruled so you can build your best arguments using language proven to persuade your court.



United States District Court, California Northern
US

Overview Analytics Documents

A Court Information

Jurisdiction	General Jurisdiction	
Type	Federal	
Website	http://www.cand.uscourts.gov	
Locations	Oakland Division, Ronald V. Dellums Federal Building 1301 Clay Street Suite 400 S Oakland CA US 94612	San Jose Division, Robert F. Peckham Federal Building 280 South 1st Street San Jose CA US 95113

[Browse All Locations](#)

B Opinions by Areas of Law
(based on cases)


Civil Procedure	58157
Criminal Law & Procedure	22715
Civil Rights Law	15726
Constitutional Law	12985
Evidence	12400

[View 5 More](#)

Active Judges

Name	Year Appointed
Claudia Wilken Oakland Division, Ronald V. Dellums Federal Building	2014
Edward J. Davila San Jose Division, Robert F. Peckham Federal Building	2011
Vince Chhabria San Francisco Division, Phillip Burton Federal Building & U.S. Courthouse	2014
Jacqueline Scott Corley San Francisco Division, Phillip Burton Federal Building & U.S. Courthouse	2011

Opinions Per Year
(based on cases)



C [Link to this page](#)

D [Create Report](#)

Overview

An Overview appears by default when a particular court is selected from the search results and shows a snapshot of the court's aggregated history.

- A** View high-level information about the court, including Jurisdiction, Type, Website, Locations, and Active Judges.
- B** Quickly view the court's aggregated Opinions by Areas of Law and Opinions Per Year to understand the court's background and experience in similar cases.
- C** Link to this page to share this profile or revisit it at a later time.
- D** Create a full or custom report to export and share court analytics with colleagues.

COURT ANALYTICS

United States District Court, California Northern
US

Overview **Analytics** Documents [Link to this page](#) [Create Report](#)

Motion Language Citation Patterns

FILTERS

A Motion Decisions from United States District Court, California Northern's cases

Granted Partial Denied

Motion Type	Analysis	Total
motion to dismiss	18550	18550
motion for summary judgment	15009	15009
motion for leave	3170	3170
motion to strike	2760	2760
motion for remand	2375	2375
motion to compel	2338	2338
motion for stay	1792	1792
motion to amend	1591	1591
motion for reconsideration	1574	1574
motion for injunctive relief	1525	1525
motion for fees	1465	1465
motion to exclude	1345	1345
motion for default judgment	1362	1362
motion for appointment of guardian	1238	1238
motion for sanctions	1202	1202
motion for intervention	1193	1193
motion for judicial notice	1148	1148


C 16701 cases where United States District Court, California Northern ruled on a motion to dismiss

- Clapp v. City & County of San Francisco**
Hon. Laurel Beeler | Aug 23, 2019 | 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 144023
Motions: motion to dismiss ✔ granted
Decision Language: The court grants CCSF's motion to dismiss.
- Brittain v. Twitter Inc.**
Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers | Aug 23, 2019 | 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 143995
Motions: motion to dismiss ✔ granted
Decision Language: Consequently, the Court certifies that any appeal taken from the Order Granting Defendant's Motion to Dismiss of this action will not be taken in good faith and is therefore frivolous.
- Colgate v. Juul Labs**
Aug 23, 2019 | 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 144027
Motions: motion to dismiss ⊖ partial, motion to compel ✘ denied

Motion Language

- A** **Interactive Motion Outcomes Chart:** Examine a court's rulings on a specific motion type and view an associated case list for that motion type. Motion outcomes are based on opinions written by trial and court judges at both the federal and state level. Context does not currently detect appellate reversals of trial court motion decisions.
- B** Analyzing the opinions written by the court, Context identifies the occurrence of up to 100 motion types and analyzes the outcome of the motion (granted, denied or partial ruling).
- C** View all cases where the court ruled on a specific motion type and see the exact language used in the judge's decision alongside the motion outcome for that case.

COURT ANALYTICS



The screenshot displays the LexisNexis Court Analytics interface for the United States District Court, California Northern. The interface includes a search bar at the top, navigation tabs for Overview, Analytics, and Documents, and a 'Citation Patterns' section. This section features a 'Frequently Cited' bar chart (labeled A) and a list of common phrases from opinions (labeled B).

Frequently Cited

Entity	Frequency (Relative)
Liberty Lobby	High
Celotex Corp. Catrett	Medium-High
Balistreri Pacifica Police Dep't	Medium
Bell Atlantic Corporation Tw...	Medium
Ashcroft Iqbal	Medium
West Atkins	Medium
Strickland Washington	Medium
Williams (Terry) Taylor	Medium
Farmer Brennan	Medium
Hanlon Chrysler Corp.	Medium
Matsushita Elec. Indus. Co. Z...	Medium
Estelle Gamble	Medium
Rand Rowland	Medium
Slack McDaniel	Medium
McGuckin	Medium
Brecht	Medium

Common Phrases

United States District Court, California Northern citing [Liberty Lobby](#) ⓘ
Most common language

Citing Anderson Liberty Lobby, Inc. in [Barrow v. San Francisco Sheriff's Dep't](#) Lorem Ipsum for courtname | Aug 5, 2019

Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(a). Summary judgment is appropriate when, after adequate discovery, there is no genuine issue as to any material facts and the moving party is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. Id.; see [Celotex Corp. v. Catrett](#), 477 U.S. 317, 322-23, 106 S. Ct. 2548, 91 L. Ed. 2d 265 (1986). Material facts are those that might affect the outcome of the case. [Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc.](#), 477 U.S. 242, 248, 106 S. Ct. 2505, 91 L. Ed. 2d 202 (1986). A dispute as to a material fact is "genuine" if there is sufficient evidence for a reasonable jury to return a verdict for the nonmoving party.

[More Like This](#)

Citing Anderson Liberty Lobby, Inc. in [Hollis v. Risenhoover](#) Lorem Ipsum for courtname | Jul 22, 2019

[Celotex Corp. v. Catrett](#), 477 U.S. 317, 322-23, 106 S. Ct. 2548, 91 L. Ed. 2d 265 (1986). A fact is material if it might affect the outcome of the lawsuit under governing law, and a dispute about such a material fact is genuine "if the evidence is such that a reasonable jury could return a verdict for the nonmoving party." [Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc.](#), 477 U.S. 242, 248, 106 S. Ct. 2505, 91 L. Ed. 2d 202 (1986).


[More Like This](#)

Citation Patterns

Citation Patterns give you the advantage of knowing the language, cases and judges that are most persuasive to your court.

- A** **Frequently Cited Opinions and Judges:** View an interactive graphical display of the opinions and judges your court cites most often.
- B** The right-hand display presents the most common language—exact sentences and phrases—from the opinion or judge selected, so you can easily copy and paste text into your motion or brief.

COURT ANALYTICS



The screenshot displays the LexisNexis Context interface for the United States District Court, California Northern. The top navigation bar includes a search bar with the text "Enter the name of an expert, an area of expertise, judge or court" and a search icon. The main header shows the court name and "US". Below this are tabs for "Overview", "Analytics", and "Documents" (highlighted with a red circle 'A'). To the right of the tabs are links for "Link to this page" and "Create Report".

A "FILTERS" sidebar is visible on the left, with a red circle 'B' highlighting it. The sidebar includes sections for "Content Type" (Cases, Dockets, Jury Verdicts & Settlements, Briefs, Pleadings, and Motions, Expert Witness Materials), "Search Within Results", "Timeline", "Subscription", "Published Status", "Source", "Practice Areas & Topics", and "Attorney".

The main content area shows "84364 Results" and a "Sort By:" dropdown menu (highlighted with a red circle 'C'). The dropdown menu options are: "Date (Newest - Oldest)", "Document Title (A-Z)", "Document Title (Z-A)", "Date (Oldest - Newest)", and "Date (Newest - Oldest)". The search results list includes:

1. [Woods v. Marquez, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 161373](#)
United States District Court for the Northern District of California | September 20, 2019 | 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 161373
2. [Walker v. Popow, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 161369](#)
United States District Court for the Northern District of California | September 20, 2019 | 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 161369
3. [Overton v. Uber Techs., Inc., 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 161374](#)
United States District Court for the Northern District of California | September 20, 2019 | 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 161374
4. [Lundy v. Anthem Life Ins. Co., 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 161293](#)
United States District Court for the Northern District of California, San Jose Division | September 20, 2019 | 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 161293
5. [Lomack v. Beam, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 161370](#)
United States District Court for the Northern District of California | September 20, 2019 | 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 161370
6. [In re Volkswagen "Clean Diesel" Mktg., Sales Practices, & Prods. Liab. Litig., 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 161388](#)
United States District Court for the Northern District of California | September 20, 2019 | 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 161388

Documents

- A** Without having to run additional searches, all available documents pertaining to your court from across the Lexis Advance service are compiled automatically for you under the Documents tab.
- B** Filters on the left-hand side of the screen work similarly to Lexis Advance and allow you to narrow the results list.
- C** Sort related materials by Document Title or Date.

Sign in: [LexisAdvance.com](https://www.lexisadvance.com)

Learn more: [LexisNexis.com/Context](https://www.lexisnexis.com/context)

Contact your LexisNexis® representative for more information.