

Labor Case Reaches Into The Legal Profession

The Supreme Court is set to reconsider whether employees can be forced to pay fair share union dues. It is believed the Court will overturn the 1977 ruling in [*Aboud v. Detroit Board of Education*](#) with broad implications, including the mandatory dues attorneys pay unified state bar associations.

Read the Supreme Court briefs and the 7th Circuit decision below:

**Supreme Court Brief for the
Petitioner, Janus v. AFSCME**

**Review Opposition And Amicus
Briefs, Janus v. AFSCME**

Janus v. AFSCME, 851 F.3d 746



Full Analysis Of The Implications For Unified Bar Associations

“This is the biggest case that is getting the least amount of attention,” said Adam Winkler, a constitutional law professor at the UCLA School of Law. “It’s going to be disruptive for a lot of organizations that rely on these types of fees.” Most state bars have mandatory membership and are considered “integrated” or “unified” — that is, they act as both a regulator and a trade association for lawyers. Both roles are aimed at protecting the public, improving the profession and advocating for justice, proponents say. [*How A Supreme Court Labor Case Could Reshape the Bar, Law360, January 17, 2018.*](#)